

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and Civil Local Rule 79-5, Epic Games, Inc. has filed an Administrative Motion to Consider Whether Another Party's Material Should Be Sealed Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5 ("Epic's Motion") (Dkt. 1325). Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 79-5, Apple filed a statement in support of Epic's motion and a supporting declaration of Mark A. Perry.

Having considered Epic's Motion, all associated statements, declarations, exhibits, and any argument of counsel, and for good cause appearing:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Apple's request, consistent with the designations in its supporting statement and declaration, is GRANTED.

Accordingly,

(1) The public shall only have access to the version of the document sought to be sealed by the Motion in which portions of the following sections have been redacted:

Portion of Document	Document Title	Reason to Seal
Sought to be Sealed	Document The	Reason to Sear
Page 3, lines 1-3	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Apple previously moved to strike as privileged. <i>See</i> Dkt. 1328.
Page 3, line 7	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information
Page 5, lines 7-9	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Apple previously moved to strike as privileged. <i>See</i> Dkt. 1328.
Page 5, lines 24-25	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information
Page 6, lines 5-6	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Apple previously moved to strike as privileged. <i>See</i> Dkt. 1328.
Page 9, line 8	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple project codenames
Page 11, line 13	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	

Portion of Document Sought to be Sealed	Document Title	Reason to Seal
Page 11, line 15-16	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information
Page 12, line 4	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information
Page 12, line 5	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information
Page 13, lines 27-28	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Apple previously moved to strike as privileged. <i>See</i> Dkt. 1328.
Page 17, line 20	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information
Page 25, line 6	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple project codenames
Page 25, line 9	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple project codenames
Page 28, line 12	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public third- party financial information
Page 29, line 13	Epic's Post-Hearing Findings	Reflects non-public Apple financial information

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: ______, 2025

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers United States District Court Judge